

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,103	03/10/2004	L. Gary Jensen	JE17-001	5922
21567	7590 06/23/2005		EXAM	INER
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE. WA 99201			· HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3721	
			DATE MAILED 0//02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Mh				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/798,103	JENSEN, L. GARY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R. Harmon	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum staturent to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: I, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition fo	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 April 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-31</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	y the Examiner. Note the attached a	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in App the priority documents have been re al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 1-13 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in the response of 12/21/04. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation "and which is mounted" line 6 is not proper. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 30 recites the limitation "the fluid powered ram" in lines 15 and 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3721

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 23-26, 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benzinger et al. (US 4,602,541).

Benzinger et al. disclose a punch press with a work station; selectively independent rotating punch 34a and die 98 assemblies; fluid powered ram 34. The apparatus is automatically controlled by hydraulic pressure and moves punch into and out of penetrating contact with web of material 28; see column 9, line 62 – column 10, line 29 figures 2-3.

7. Claims 23-26, 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jelinek et al. (US 4,285,259).

Jelinek et al. disclose a punch press with a work station; selectively independent rotating punch 12 and die 14 assemblies; fluid powered ram 16. The apparatus is automatically controlled by workpiece positioning (linear) system 18 by system 19 by hydraulic pressure and moves punches (deforming and severing tools) 21 into and out of penetrating contact with web of material and dies 20; see figures 1-3. The indexing movement of dies and punches stops while the ram in engaged in a punching stroke.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3721

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 14-21 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jelinek et al. 4,285,259 in view of Hinks et al. (3,696,655).

Jelinek et al. disclose a semi automatic processing method and do not directly disclose a computer memory, which stores a pattern of apertures, however discuss that "automated turret positioning systems which are controlled from a central processor such as a computer... are presently widely used."

Hinks discloses a web forming machine comprising selectively rotatable punch 871 and die 872 assemblies rotatable about axes 971 and 972 respectively; see figures 21-24. Computer 1620 controls the operation of the material feed, tool selection and indexing, and punching operation. The web material is fed substantially transversely to the punch movement.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a computer memory for storing a pattern as taught by Hinks et al. in the invention to Jelinek et al. in order to control the punching process in a predetermined manner.

Regarding claim 21, the punch orientation assembly 12 operates in modes which position the punches in multiple positions including a first (non operative) and second (operative, engaging material below punch assembly). The turret indexes the punches in both directions and provides automatic corrective repositioning.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3721

10. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch